

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE LIBOR-BASED FINANCIAL
INSTRUMENTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 1:11-md-2262-NRB

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

County of San Diego v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-00667;

City of Riverside, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-00597;

City of Richmond, et al. v. Bank of America, Corp. et al., No. 13-cv-00627;

County of San Mateo, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-00625;

East Bay Municipal Utility District v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-00626;

San Diego Association of Governments v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-05221;

County of Sacramento v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-05569;

The Regents of the University of California v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-05186;

County of Sonoma, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-05187; and

City of Mendocino v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-08644.

**Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice
of Defendant Royal Bank of Canada
Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii)**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between Plaintiffs in the above-captioned actions and Defendant Royal Bank of Canada that all of Plaintiffs' respective claims against Defendant Royal Bank of Canada only are hereby dismissed with prejudice from the actions *City*

of Richmond, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al., No. 13-cv-00627; *City of Riverside, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00597; *County of Mendocino v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-08644; *County of Sacramento v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05569; *County of San Diego v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00667; *County of San Mateo, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00625; *County of Sonoma, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05187; *East Bay Municipal Utility District v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00626; *San Diego Association of Governments v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05221; and *The Regents of the University of California v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05186,¹ pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, without interest to any party and with each party to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

Dated: November 26, 2024



Nanci E. Nishimura
Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road
Burlingame, CA 94010
Email: nnishimura@cpmlegal.com

Counsel to Plaintiffs



Brian J. Poronsky
J Matthew Haws
Anna Porter
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
525 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Telephone: (312) 902-5200
brian.poronsky@kattenlaw.com
matthew.haws@katten.com
anna.porter@katten.com

Counsel to Defendant Royal Bank of Canada

¹ See Direct Action Plaintiffs' Consolidated First Amended Complaint, 11-MD-2262, Dkt. No. 684.